JOURNAL OF Evolutionary Biology

doi: 10.1111/jeb.12274

Genetic divergence predicts reproductive isolation in damselflies

R. A. SÁNCHEZ-GUILLÉN*†, A. CÓRDOBA-AGUILAR*, A. CORDERO-RIVERA† & M. WELLENREUTHER‡

*Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Instituto de Ecología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico D.F., Mexico †Grupo ECOEVO, Departamento de Ecoloxía e Bioloxía Animal, Universidade de Vigo, Pontevedra, Spain ‡Department of Biology, Ecology Building, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Keywords:

hybridization; odonates; sexual and natural forces; speciation clock.

Abstract

Reproductive isolation is the defining characteristic of a biological species, and a common, but often untested prediction is a positive correlation between reproductive isolation and genetic divergence. Here, we test for this correlation in odonates, an order characterized by strong sexual selection. First, we measure reproductive isolation and genetic divergence in eight damselfly genera (30 species pairs) and test for a positive correlation. Second, we estimate the genetic threshold preventing hybrid formation and empirically test this threshold using wild populations of species within the Ischnura genus. Our results indicate a positive and strong correlation between reproductive isolation and genetic distance using both mitochondrial and nuclear genes cytochrome oxidase II (COII: r = 0.781 and 18S-28S: r = 0.658). Hybridization thresholds range from -0.43 to 1.78% for COII and -0.052-0.71% for 18S-28S, and both F₁-hybrids and backcrosses were detected in wild populations of two pairs of Ischnura species with overlapping thresholds. Our study suggests that threshold values are suitable to identify species prone to hybridization and that positive isolation-divergence relationships are taxonomically widespread.

Introduction

Reproductive isolation is widely accepted as an irreversible point along the evolutionary trajectory towards the origin of species (cf. reticulate evolution, e.g. Arnold *et al.*, 2010), and this has led some to propose a general relationship between genetic divergence (as a surrogate for time) and reproductive isolation (e.g. Coyne & Orr, 1989, 1997). A positive relationship between the strength of reproductive isolation and genetic distance was first detected by Zouros (1973) and Ayala (1975) when working on closely related species of *Drosophila*. A little over a decade later, Coyne & Orr (1989) argued that if the time since species splitting affects genetic distance, then a general relationship with the degree of

Correspondence: R. A. Sánchez-Guillén, Departamento de Ecología

Evolutiva, Instituto de Ecología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 70-275, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 México D.F., Mexico.

Tel.: +52/55 56 22 90 03; fax: +52/55 56 16 19 76;

e-mails: rguillen@uvigo.es and acordoba@ecologia.unam.mx

reproductive isolation should be expected. Indeed, comprehensive work by Coyne & Orr (1989, 1997) detected a positive correlation between the strength of prezygotic (sexual/behavioural) and post-zygotic isolation (hybrid sterility and inviability) and genetic divergence in a meta-analysis of 174 pairs of Drosophila species. Although some exceptions to this rule have since been found (Lessios & Cunningham, 1990 species of echinoderms, Scopece et al., 2007 species of orchids), the vast majority of studies have documented consistent results in frogs (Sasa et al., 1998 46 species), butterflies (Presgraves, 2002 182 species), birds (Price & Bouvier, 2002 368 species) and angiosperms (Moyle et al., 2004 191 species). These studies are in line with the hypothesis that reproductive isolation is a by-product of gradual genetic divergence, that is, a phenomenon commonly referred to as the 'speciation clock' (Coyne & Orr, 1989, 1997).

However, some species may remain genetically isolated without approaching full reproductive isolation as, for example, seen when the geographical ranges of related species are connected by stable hybrid zones (Hewitt, 2001) or where species show introgressive hybridization over large spatial scales (e.g. Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2011). Variation in the association between reproductive isolation and genetic divergence may also occur if the strength of selective forces that govern the speciation process differs. One long-standing idea is that species with strong sexual selection might evolve reproductive isolation more rapidly (Darwin, 1871), and this idea has since gained additional supporters (e.g. Lande, 1982; Rice, 1996; Gavrilets, 2000; Boake, 2005). According to this view, increased sexual selection (and hence sexual conflict) facilitates the evolution of diverse male reproductive strategies that are in turn counteracted by female counterstrategies, thus providing elevated opportunities for speciation as more evolutionary avenues of male-female interaction evolve (Gage et al., 2002). Some recent studies of closely related species support such a role for sexual behaviour in species divergence (Price, 1998; Gray & Cade, 2000; Boake, 2005; Mendelson & Shaw, 2005). However, carefully controlled studies have been less clear, with some providing support (Arnqvist et al., 2000; Martin & Hosken, 2003), whereas others failed to find an association (Gage et al., 2002).

Dragonflies and damselflies (Odonata) are a group of animals where adaptation can rapidly be caused by sexual selection (Misof, 2002; McPeek & Gavrilets, 2006; Svensson et al., 2006). Sexual selection appears to promote speciation in dragonflies (Misof, 2002), and in damselflies, there is an evidence that sexual selection may be an important component of speciation. However, species within the radiation of North American Enallagma damselflies differentiated primarily in characters important to interspecific mate recognition, that is, the male cerci and the female mesostigmal plates, and this divergence proceeded in the absence of significant niche diversification (Brown et al., 2000; McPeek & Brown, 2000; McPeek et al., 2008a). In addition to damselflies being an interesting radiation driven by sexual and natural selection, they are also optimal study objects because reproductive barriers can be estimated with the accuracy under both laboratory (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012) and natural conditions (McPeek et al., 2008a; Wellenreuther et al., 2010b; Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012). Damselflies allow reproductive barriers to be studied beyond the F1-hybrids (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012) that are typically being used to estimate post-zygotic effects in many species (Edmands, 2002), because they can be reared and crossed with relative ease in captivity (Van Gossum et al., 2003; Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2005). However, despite the suitability to study reproductive barriers in odonates, empirical estimates of the isolationdivergence relationship have only rarely been attempted (Tierney, 1996; Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012).

Here, we explore the relationship between reproductive isolation and genetic divergence between eight damselfly genera by reviewing existing data on reproductive isolation and conducting extensive field and laboratory studies. By doing so, we fill an important taxonomic gap in the understanding of how closely reproductive isolation is linked to genetic divergence in this ancient insect group. Based on the assumption that different degrees of genetic distances between species pairs should positively correlate with the completion of the speciation process, (i) we measure the strength of reproductive isolation between eight damselfly genera (Calopteryx, Coenagrion, Enallagma, Erythromma, Ischnura, Lestes, Pyrrhosoma and Sympecma) using both field and laboratory approaches, and (ii) we estimate the genetic divergence below which isolating barriers are insufficient to prevent hybrid formation. Lastly, iii) we test our estimates in the field on two Ischnura sister species pairs predicted to hybridize based on their genetic distances by evaluating the realized degree of hybridization in a natural setting.

Materials and methods

Study genera and literature review

Damselfly species in the genus Ischnura lack precopulatory courtship behaviour. Instead, males actively search for females and will initiate mating by grasping the female by the prothorax with their anal appendages, thereby forming the 'tandem position'. In this position, the male and female are joined, but the genitalia are not engaged. If the female is willing to mate, she will bend her abdomen so that mating organs of both sexes come into contact forming the copulatory 'wheel position' (Corbet, 1999). Copulation can be impeded by the mismatch of the male anal appendages with the mesostigmal plates located on the female pronotum, hampering the tandem position, or by a mismatch of the male and female mating organs, hampering copulation (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012). Copulation stage in odonates is divided into three behavioural phases: i) sperm removal of previous mating males' sperm stored in the female sperm storage organs, ii) insemination and iii) male mate guarding (Miller & Miller, 1981).

The contribution of sexual and natural selection and hybridization to reproductive isolation in the eight studied damselfly genera has been investigated in most cases. Intragenera hybridization in the wild does not occur in *Erythromma, Pyrrhosoma* and *Sympecma*, because species within these genera rarely coexist (Sánchez-Guillén, unpublished data). In the damselfly genus *Lestes*, a group in which many species co-occur in sympatry, and with very similar ecological requirements, interspecific tandems are common in the European species of this genus (accounts for 70% of the observed interspecific interactions); however, mating events (12.5% of the observed interspecific interactions) are rare (Sánchez-Guillén, unpublished data). For species within the genus *Coenagrion*, an evidence of

^{© 2013} THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. 27 (2014) 76-87

JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY © 2013 EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY

putative hybrids comes from morphological examinations, but molecular analyses failed to support this (Lowe et al., 2008). The genus Calopteryx shows large differences in secondary sexual wing traits (Svensson et al., 2007) and strong sexual selection for wing phenotype (Waage, 1975). Ecological work on the European Calopteryx species C. splendens and C. virgo found only minor interspecific niche differences (Svensson, 2012; Wellenreuther et al., 2012), and molecular work on the occidental European species of Calopteryx genus showed that species show little genetic differentiation (Maibach, 1985). Despite the lack of large niche partitioning in *Calopteryx* spp., hybridization rates are very low (Mullen & Andrés, 2007; Tynkkynen et al., 2008). Similarly, in the genus Enallagma, premating barriers appear to evolve independently of niche diversification (McPeek & Brown, 2000; McPeek et al., 2008a), despite up to 12 species co-occurring in the same body of water (McPeek & Brown, 2000). The genus Enallagma also shows random mating among congeneric species, and even if mechanic isolation is important in several species pairs, it is not complete in all, suggesting past asymmetric hybridization (Turgeon et al., 2005). Finally, species within the genus Ischnura co-occur sympatrically over large parts of their ranges and are morphologically and ecologically similar, and in some cases, extensive hybridization occurs (Johnson, 1975; Leong & Hafernik, 1992; Monetti et al., 2002; Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2011).

We conducted a literature review to characterize the contribution of different reproductive barriers at the interspecific. intergeneric and interfamily level (Table 1). Our data set consists of 31 sexual interactions between sympatric and allopatric species pairs of 30 species (Table 1). We grouped the data into seven potential reproductive barriers (description in Table 1), following detailed descriptions for 19 pre- and postzygotic reproductive barriers in ischnurids (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012). Coyne & Orr (1989) categorized post-zygotic isolation as a discrete variable that assumed values from zero (both sexes viable and fertile) to one (both sexes sterile or inviable). In our study, we assigned a selected value of reproductive isolation for each reproductive barrier, which ranged from zero (when fertile hybrids were detected) to seven (when sexual interaction, but no physical contact was detected) and was divided by seven. This gives an index of reproductive isolation ranging from 0 (no isolation) to 1 (complete isolation) (see Table 1 for a complete description of reproductive barriers and values).

Measures of correlation between reproductive isolation and genetic distances

We selected two mitochondrial genes, cytochrome oxidase II (COII) and cytochrome b (CYTB), and one nuclear gene, the ribosomal subunits of 18S–28S

(18S-28S) based on their diverse evolutionary rates (Fritz et al., 1994). Supplemental Table S1 shows accession numbers of the sequences downloaded from GenBank. Additionally, the DNA of I. asiatica, I. elegans, I. elegans ebneri, I. fountaineae, I. genei, I. graellsii, I. pumilio, I. saharensis and I. senegalensis (between 3 and 9 samples/species, n total = 50 samples) was extracted from the head using a standard phenol/chloroform-isoamyl alcohol extraction protocol (Sambrook et al., 1989). Samples were amplified by PCR for part of COII, CYTB and 18-28S. Amplifications were carried out using universal primers: 673 bp of the COII with the primers TL2-J-3037 and C2-N-3494, and C2-J-3400 and TK-N-3785 (Simon et al., 1994), 457 bp of the CYTB with the primers CB-J-10933 and TS1-N-11683 (Simon et al., 1994) and approximately 700 bp (depending on the length of the sequence in each species) of the nuclear gene 18S-28S with the primers LITS and H28S (Samraoui et al., 2002). DNA amplifications were carried out in 10 μ L, and amplification conditions were as follows: 1–2 ng of DNA (2 μ L), 5.0 μ L of 2X Ready MixTM PCR Master Mix (1.5 mm MgCl₂), 1μ L of $10\times$ BSA, 0.3μ L of MgCl₂ (50 mm), 1.1μ L of distilled water and 0.3 μ L of each primer (10 pmol) in a 'GeneAmp PCR system 2700' thermocycler (Applied Biosystems). The PCR program had an initial cycle of 95 °C for 3 min, followed by the annealing temperature for 1 min, with an elongation period at 72 °C for 45 s, followed by 34 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, with annealing for 45 s, and an elongation phase at 72 °C for 45s, and a final extension phase at 72 °C for 10 min. Bidirectional sequencing reactions were conducted using the BigdveTM terminator cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) using the automatic sequencer ABI3100. Forward and reverse sequences were edited in CODON CODE ALIGNED (CodonCode, Dedham, MA, USA), and consensus sequences were aligned with ClustalX (Thompson et al., 1997) implemented in MEGA, version 5 (Tamura et al., 2011) (GenBank accession numbers: KC430114-KC430232).

Kimura 2-parameter genetic distances (Kimura, 1980) were calculated between 17 taxa (330 bp, 36 sequences) for mtDNA COII, 13 taxa (317 bp, 51 sequences) for mtDNA CYTB and between 16 taxa (485 bp, 53 sequences) for nDNA 18S-28S. All samples of a species were clustered in the same group, and the genetic distances were estimated between groups. Rate of variation among sites was modelled with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 1) with MEGA, version 5 (Tamura et al., 2011). To maximize the use of available data, yet account for phylogenetic nonindependence of species pairs, we generated a reduced set of phylogenetically 'corrected' species pairs (following Covne & Orr, 1989, 1997; Yukilevich, 2012). We used nested averaging to reduce all pairwise comparisons across each internal phylogenetic node to a single comparison (which applies to both reproductive isolation

			Frezygoi	יוכ ון וופומרווחי וי	לאומ מוומ הממו	6				
Species (♂)	Species (\mathbb{Q})	Geographical region	1 (1)	2 (0.84)	3 (0.67)	4 (0.50)	5 (0.33)	6 (0.17)	7 (0)	Ref
Ischnura pumilio	Ischnura elegans	Sympatric	+	+	I					(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura barberi	Ischnura ramburii	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	I			(Deviche, 2010)
Ischnura pumilio	Ischnura graellsii	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	I		(Cordero, 1989)
Ischnura damula	Ischnura demorsa	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	I		(Johnson, 1975)
Ischnura demorsa	Ischnura damula	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	I		(Johnson, 1975)
Ischnura graellsii	Ischnura elegans	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(Sánchez-Guillén <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
Ischnura elegans	Ischnura graellsii	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(Sánchez-Guillén <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
Ischnura elegans	Ischnura genei	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(Sánchez-Guillén unpublished data)
Ischnura genei	Ischnura elegans	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(Sánchez-Guillén unpublished data)
Ischnura graellsii	Ischnura saharensis	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(Sánchez-Guillén unpublished data)
Ischnura saharensis	Ischnura graellsii	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(Sánchez-Guillén unpublished data)
Ischnura graellsii	Ischnura genei	Allopatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	¢.	(Sánchez-Guillén unpublished data)
Ischnura genei	Ischnura graellsii	Allopatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	¢.	(Sánchez-Guillén unpublished data)
Ischnura e. ebneri	Ischnura fountaineae	Sympatric	с.	<i>c</i> .	<i>.</i> .	<i>c</i> .	<i>c</i> .	+	ċ	(Corbet, 1980)
Ischnura gemina	Ischnura denticollis	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(Tierney, 1996)
Ischnura denticollis	Ischnura gemina	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(Tierney, 1996)
Enallagma carunculatum	Ischnura cervula	Sympatric	+	Ι						(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura pumilio	Platycnemis pennipes	Sympatric	+	+	I					(Kunz, 2005)
Enallagma cyathigerum	Ischnura denticollis	Sympatric	+	+	I					(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura elegans	Coenagrion pulchellum	Sympatric	+		+	I				(Bick & Bick, 1981)
Ischnura elegans	Erythromma lindenii	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura elegans	Erythromma najas	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura perparva	Enallagma anna	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Bick & Bick, 1981)
Pymhosoma nymphula	Ischnura elegans	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Enallagma carunculatum	Ischnura perparva	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura elegans	Coenagrion puella	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	2			(Utzeri & Belfiore, 1990)
Ischnura elegans	Enallagma cyathigerum	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	2			(Bick & Bick, 1981)
Ischnura elegans	Pyrrhosoma nymphula	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	5			(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura pumilio	Coenagrion puella	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	2			(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura pumilio	Enallagma cyathigerum	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	5			(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Pyrrhosoma nymphula	Ischnura pumilio	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	2			(Garner, 2003)
Enallagma hageni	Ischnura cervula	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Bick & Bick, 1981)
Ischnura elegans	Lestes sponsa	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura elegans	Lestes viridis	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura erratica	Lestes disjunctus	Sympatric	+	+	+	I				(Miller & Fincke, 2004)
Ischnura elegans	Calopteryx splendens	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	~			(Seggewise, 2008)
Ischnura elegans	Sympecma fusca	Sympatric	+	+	+	+	2			(Seggewise, 2008)

 Table 1
 Summary of sexual interactions between species pairs.

© 2013 THE AUTHORS. *J. EVOL. BIOL.* **27** (2014) 76-87 JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY © 2013 EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY

males and females produce fertile or partially fertile F_2 hybrids) (see Sánchez-Guillén *et al.*, 2012). The sign + denotes that the interaction was detected (in the literature), and the sign – indicates that the interaction was impeded by incompatibility, and the sign ? denotes the lack of information. The sign – denotes that the field was left blank. We assigned an index of reproductive isolation to each interaction (1–7), which ranged from zero (when fertile/partial fertile hybrids are produced) to one (when there is no physical contact) and was

divided by seven (categorical values in brackets).

and genetic distances). Reciprocal crosses were averaged. Three neighbour-joining trees were generated (Fig. S1), one for each gene: COII (n = 17 taxa; n = 51samples), CYTB (n = 15 taxa; n = 58 samples), 18S–28S (n = 17 taxa; n = 55 samples) (Fig. S1), using MEGA, version 5 (Tamura *et al.*, 2011). Neighbour-joining trees were used to conduct phylogenetic corrections where the confidence probability (multiplied by 100) of each interior branch length was estimated using a bootstrap test (1000 replicates). The 'corrected' data set was subsequently reduced from 20 to 13 comparisons for COII, 14–8 comparisons for CYTB and 21–13 comparisons for 18S–28S.

To estimate the evolutionary rate of reproductive isolation, we used the nonparametric Spearman rank correlation between reproductive isolation and genetic distances of the phylogenetically 'corrected' species pairs. Additionally, to evaluate whether the mean genetic distance observed for two categories of reproductive isolation (premating and post-mating, prezygotic isolation and post-mating, post-zygotic isolation) are significantly different from each other, we used Mann-Whitney U tests (corrected for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni procedure). Finally, we theoretically predict that species pairs that have Kimura 2-parameter genetic distances similar to or below species pairs forming hybrids are prone to undergo hybridization themselves. The threshold hybridization range was calculated based on the genetic distances (mean±SE) between all species pairs that are forming hybrids in the wild.

Measures of hybridization in the field

Ischnura elegans, I. genei, I. graellsii and I. saharensis occur in the Mediterranean basin. Ischnura elegans and I. graellsii overlap in northern and eastern Spain where they face unidirectional introgressive hybridization (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2011), I. elegans and I. genei partially overlap in Tyrrhenian Islands, and I. graellsii and I. saharensis occur sympatrically in Maghreb. These three pairs of species can be induced to hybridize in the laboratory (Sánchez-Guillén unpublished data). We examined the presence of hybrids in two populations of I. genei where I. elegans appear with low frequency (Foxi and Coghinas), in one population of I. graellsii (Saïdia), which is parapatric with I. saharensis, and in one population of I. saharensis (Berkane), which is sympatric with I. graellsii.

Between 1999 and 2009, we sampled 16 allopatric, parapatric and sympatric populations from Europe and northern Africa (Fig. S2, see Table S2 for sampling locations). A minimum of 20 adult males per population were sampled. Captured individuals were stored in 100% ethanol until DNA extraction. DNA extractions were carried out from the head using the phenol/chloroform–isoamyl alcohol protocol. Genotypes were

assayed (following Sánchez-Guillén *et al.*, 2011) at five microsatellite loci because of the difficulty to success-fully cross-amplify some of the microsatellite markers developed for *I. elegans* (Wellenreuther *et al.*, 2010a) in the four sister species. Fragment size determination and allelic designations were carried out in GeneMapper 3.0 (Applied Biosystems). The final sample size included 247 individuals from 16 populations (Table S3).

Measures of genetic diversity, namely expected heterozygosity, observed heterozygosity, number of alleles and the allelic richness, were calculated using FSTAT, version 2.9.3.2 (Goudet, 1995; Table S3). All populations were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. We used PCA-GEN (Goudet, 1995) for a principal component analysis (PCA) to capture the highest variation in the genetic dissimilarity among species. Based on the PCA results, we used the Bayesian statistical framework STRUCTURE, version 2.3.3 (Pritchard & Stephens, 2000), to determine which individuals from sympatric populations of I. elegans and I. genei, and I. graellsii and I. saharensis can be classified as hybrids, similar to previous work on I. elegans and I. graellsii (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2011). We applied the 'admixture model' with 'independent allele frequencies', a 'burn-in' period of 20 000 replicates and a sampling period of 100 000 MCMC replicates. The number of genetic clusters (K) was 1 to n + 1 populations, and we performed 10 iterations for each cluster. Thus, we generated multiple posterior probability values (log-likelihood (lnL) values) for each *K*, and the most likely *K* was evaluated by the ΔK method (Evanno et al., 2005). After that, we used admixture analyses in STRUCTURE to assign individuals of I. saharensis from North Morocco to two clusters, one representing *I. graellsii* and the other representing I. saharensis. The same analysis was carried out to assign I. genei individuals from Sicily to the two clusters, one representing *I. elegans* and the other representing I. genei. We used 'prior population information' because it facilitates the clustering process of the reference individuals and allows calculating admixture proportions (and \pm 90% credible regions) of each individual. Additionally, we used 'population flag' option to exclude Sardinian I. genei populations (Coghinas and Foxi) and North African I. graellsii (Saïdia) and I. saharensis (Berkane) populations as reference individuals from each respective analysis. The analysis was run for 100 000 MCMC replicates, after an initial burn-in period of 20 000 replicates, using 'independent allele frequencies' for five iterations.

To generate simulated genotypes of hybrids and backcrosses, we used Hybrid-LAB (Nielsen *et al.* 2006) using the genotypes of 25 individuals of *I. graellsii* and 26 individuals of *I. saharensis*, and 25 individuals of *I. elegans* and 25 individuals of *I. genei*, all of which were collected from allopatric populations as initial genotypes. We generated 25 genotypes of the following crosses: first-generation hybrid (F_1 ; i.e. $sp_1 \times sp_2$),

second-generation hybrid (F_2 ; i.e. $F_1 \times F_1$), first backcross with sp₁ (1BC; i.e. $F_1 \times sp_1$), first backcross with sp₂ (1BC; $F_1 \times sp_2$), second backcross with sp₁ (2BC; 1BC \times sp₂), sp₂ (2BC; 1BC \times sp₂). Admixture proportions (\pm 90% credible intervals) of artificial hybrids were evaluated with STRUCTURE to infer levels of introgression in sympatric populations by comparing admixture proportion for artificial hybrids and backcrosses with admixture proportion in sympatric populations.

Results

Reproductive isolation

Reproductive barriers between 16 *Ischnura* species pairs belonged to two categories: premating isolation (n = 1) and post-mating, post-zygotic isolation (n = 15) (see Table 1). However, reproductive barriers between species from different genera (*Enallagma, Pyrrhosoma, Coenagrion* and *Erythromma*) belonging to the family 'Coenagrionidae' mainly belonged to premating isolation (n = 10) and only six to post-mating, prezygotic isolation

(Table 1). Barriers between species from different families [*Lestidae* (*Lestes* and *Sympecma*) and *Calopterygidae* (*Calopteryx*)] belonged to premating isolation (n = 3) and postmating, prezygotic isolation (n = 2) (Table 1).

The relationship between genetic distance and reproductive isolation

Pairwise genetic distances between damselfly genera ranged from -0.09% to 28.00% for mtDNA COII, -0.01-18.13% for mtDNA CYTB and 0.00-72.18% for nDNA 18S–28S (Table S2). Without phylogenetic correction, we detected a significant positive correlation between genetic distance and reproductive isolation, and the results were similar for the three genes analysed. For COII, the correlation was r = 0.837 (range -0.09-22.27%, n = 20, P < 0.0001), for CYTB r = 0.652 (range -0.01-17.90%, n = 14, P = 0.013) and for 18S–28S r = 0.651 (range 0.00-65.83%, n = 21, P = 0.002, Fig. 1). Species pairs which formed hybrids (forthcoming genetic distance values separated by \pm denote mean \pm SD) (0.004 ± 0.008 , n = 7 for COII), (0.008 ± 0.018 , n = 8 for CYTB) and (0.003 ± 0.004 ,



Fig. 1 Relationship between reproductive isolation and genetic distance Kimura 2-parameters (K2P): before phylogenetic corrections: mtDNA (COII) (a), mtDNA (CYTB) (b) and nDNA (18S–28S) (c); after phylogenetic corrections: mtDNA (COII) (d), mtDNA (CYTB) (e) and nDNA (18S–28S) (f). Solid black lines represent the tendency line.

© 2013 THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. **27** (2014) 76–87 JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY © 2013 EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY



Fig. 2 Genetic distance (Mean \pm ES) Kimura 2-parameters (K2P) before and after phylogenetic corrections for pair of species forming (black dots) and not forming hybrids (white dots).

n = 7 for 18S–28S) were less genetically divergent than those not forming hybrids (0.0129 \pm 0.073, *n* = 13 for COII), (0.0094 \pm 0.076, *n* = 6 for CYTB) and (0.305 \pm 0.183, *n* = 14 for 18S–28S, Fig. 2) (COII sequences: Mann–Whitney *U*-test=2.5, *P* = 0.001; CYTB sequences: Mann–Whitney *U*-test=2.5, *P* = 0.006; and 18S–28S sequences: Mann–Whitney *U*-test=0, *P* < 0.0001).

After phylogenetic correction, we only detected a significant positive correlation in COII and 18S-28S, but not in CYTB. For COII, the correlation was r = 0.781(range -0.09-22.27%, n = 13, P = 0.002), and for 18S-28S, r = 0.658 (range 0.00–53.96%, n = 13, P = 0.017, Fig. 1). For CYTB, however, the null hypothesis of no correlation could not be rejected (r = 0.599; range -0.01-17.89%, n = 8, P = 0.132, Fig. 1), although a trend of a positive association was visible (Fig. 1). Species pairs which formed hybrids (0.007 \pm 0.011, n = 4for COII), $(0.013 \pm 0.022, n = 5$ for CYTB) and $(0.003 \pm 0.004, n = 4$ for 18S–28S, Fig. 2) were less genetically divergent than those not forming hybrids $(0.148 \pm 0.062, n = 9 \text{ for COII}), (0.106 \pm 0.083, n = 3)$ for CYTB) and $(0.268 \pm 0.156, n = 9$ for 18S–28S, Fig. 2) (COII sequences: Mann-Whitney U-test=1.00, P = 0.011; and 18S–28S sequences: Mann–Whitney *U*-test=0.0, P = 0.007), except when using CYTB sequences (Mann–Whitney U-test=1.00, P = 0.074).

Data from COII and 18S–28S showed that species pairs with genetic distances below a threshold of 0.0067 ± 0.011 (range -0.43-1.78%) for COII and 0.0033 ± 0.004 (range -0.052-0.713%) for 18S–28S are susceptible to hybridize and produce hybrids.

Levels of genetic distance and reproductive isolation in the field

Pairwise genetic distances between *I. elegans* and *I. genei* (0.32% for COII and 0.00% for 18S–28S, Table S2) and *I. graellsii* and *I. saharensis* (–0.09% for COII and 0.70% for 18S–28S, Table S2) overlapped with the estimated thresholds of genetic divergence. Both the PCA and Bayesian statistical framework (Fig. 3) confirm this finding, supporting the presence of hybrids between

I. elegans and I. genei and I. graellsii and I. saharensis. The three significant PCA axes accounted for 74.28% of the variation in the data. The first two PC axes (58.11% variation, Fig. 3a) showed a clear species cluster, but no location cluster: the first PC axis separated allopatric populations of I. saharensis, I. genei and I. elegans, whereas the second PC axis separated allopatric populations of I. graellsii from all allopatric populations of the remaining species. The parapatric population (Saïdia) of I. graellsii was clustered with the allopatric population. However, the parapatric of I. genei (Foxi and Coghinas-I. genei) and the sympatric population of I. saharensis (Berkane) were clustered intermediate between I. elegans and I. genei, and I. graellsii and I. saharensis, respectively, indicating contemporary hybridization in these populations.

Although the PCA revealed a clear separation of the four allopatric species clusters in addition to an intermediate sympatric cluster, the ΔK method suggested only three clusters as the most likely population structure (Fig. 3b): the first and second clusters corresponded to *I. graellsii* and *I. elegans*, whereas the third was best represented by *I. genei* and *I. saharensis*.

Assignment tests gave strong support for hybridization in sympatric populations. In assignment tests using genotype information of I. graellsii and I. saharensis (Fig. 3c), the majority of allopatric I. graellsii (52 of 53) and I. saharensis (27 of 31) were assigned with > 90% certainty to their species cluster (Fig. 3c). In sharp contrast to this, the majority of parapatric I. graellsii (47.5–70.6%) and sympatric I. saharensis samples (37.5-72.1%) were classified as intermediate between both species clusters. In both populations, the percentage of credible regions represented not only first-generation (F₁; i.e. *I. graellsii*× *I. saharensis*) or second-generation hybrids (F_2 ; i.e. F_1 hybrids \times F_1 hybrids), but also first and successive backcrosses (see Fig. 3c). Similarly, in assignment tests using genotype information of I. elegans and I. genei, 94 of the 100 allopatric I. elegans samples and 29 of the 32 allopatric I. genei samples were assigned with at least 90% certainty to each of the two



Fig. 3 (a) Principal component analysis of allopatric (grey symbols) and sympatric (black symbols) *I. elegans, I. genei, I. graellsii* and *I. saharensis* populations. First axis 37.80% ($F_{ST} = 0.057$, P = 0.23), second 20.31% ($F_{ST} = 0.031$, P = 0.12) and third 16.13% ($F_{ST} = 0.024$, P = 0.09). The first and second axes represent the first two factorial components. (b) Population structure of *I. elegans, I. genei, I. graellsii* and *I. saharensis* based on STRUCTURE for K = 3. Individuals are represented by single vertical lines broken into two segments, proportional to their respective membership in the two genetic clusters. (c) Individual Bayesian assignment probabilities for K = 2. Assignment proportions for *I. graellsii* and *I. saharensis* were calculated using three allopatric *I. graellsii* and two allopatric *I. genei* populations (first-generation F_1 ; i.e. *I. graellsii* × *I. saharensis*, second-generation hybrid F_2 ; i.e. F_1 hybrids × F_1 hybrids or successive × *I. saharensis*), as well as one parapatric *I. graellsii* (Saïdia) and one sympatric *I. saharensis* populations (first-generation hybrid F_2 ; i.e. F_1 hybrids or first-generation F_1 ; i.e. *I. genellsii* (Saïdia) and one sympatric *I. saharensis* populations (first-generation F_1 ; i.e. *I. graellsii* (Saïdia) and one sympatric *I. genei* populations (first-generation F_1 ; i.e. *I. genellsii* (Saïdia) and one sympatric *I. genei* populations (first-generation F_1 ; i.e. *I. elegans* × *I. genei*, second-generation hybrid F_2 ; i.e. F_1 hybrids or successive × *I. genei*, *genei*, *I. elegans* and *I. genei* population (Berkane). Assignment proportions for *I. elegans* and *I. genei* mere calculated using five allopatric *I. elegans* and two allopatric *I. genei* populations (first-generation F_1 ; i.e. F_1 hybrids $\times F_1$ hybrids are specified and 2BCE; i.e. F_1 hybrids or successive $\times I$ *leegans* and backcrosses with *I. genei* 1BCG and 2BCG; i.e. F_1 hybrids or successive $\times I$ *ge*

species clusters (Fig. 3c), whereas both *I. genei* populations from the parapatric region were intermediate between the two clusters (38.4–58.0% assignment to *I. elegans*) corresponding to either first- or secondgeneration hybrids and successive backcrosses with *I. elegans* and also *I. genei* (Fig. 3c).

Discussion

A taxonomically broad evaluation of the relationship between reproductive isolation and genetic divergence is essential for elucidating general mechanisms in the speciation process. A large body of work has accumu-

© 2013 THE AUTHORS. *J. EVOL. BIOL.* **27** (2014) 76–87 JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY © 2013 EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY

(b) STRUCTURE: population structure

lated supporting a positive correlation between reproductive isolation and genetic divergence, for instance in Drosophila, butterflies, toads, birds and angiosperms (Coyne & Orr, 1997; Sasa et al., 1998; Presgraves, 2002; Price & Bouvier, 2002; Moyle et al., 2004), although some exceptions to this have been found (Lessios & Cunningham, 1990; Edmands, 2002; Scopece et al., 2007). Despite the solid number of studies investigating this topic, notable taxonomic gaps exist. Odonates make an important contribution to our general understanding of whether the relationship holds across diverse groups, because they represent the most ancient winged insects order and also a group where sexual selection had a large effect on the diversification process (McPeek & Brown, 2000; Svensson, 2012), with sometimes little evidence of niche diversification between closely related species (Stoks et al., 2005; Wellenreuther et al., 2012). Here, we directly test for an isolation-divergence correlation in 30 species pairs of damselflies and report a positive association across a wide range of values. Furthermore, our data support the usefulness of this correlation to predict hybridization.

Evidence that natural selection is involved in the origin of species is strong, for example, as seen in the rapid diversification evident in adaptive radiations (Schluter, 2000), or in the strong association between ecological divergence and reproductive isolation in many species pairs (Funk et al., 2006). Artificial selection experiments mimicking natural selection also commonly produce reproductive isolation as a correlated response (Rice & Hostert, 1993). The link between reproductive isolation and genetic divergence in radiations driven by sexual selection and conflict is less well known. Strong sexual selection and conflict might lead to the rapid evolution of reproductive isolation, whereas overall levels of neutral genetic divergence might evolve less quickly. Some support for this idea comes from studies showing that signatures of speciation by sexual selection can be detected in insects, frogs, fish and birds despite low genetic divergence (see Panhius et al., 2001). In our study, we found that only one of the 16 interactions between Ischnura taxa was prevented before zygote formation, whereas both pre- and post-zygotic barriers were found to significantly reduce gene flow in other damselfly taxa (Table 1). Levels of genetic divergence were low even in fully reproductively isolated ischnurids [K2P D = 0.0067 ± 0.011 STD (COII) and K2P $D = 0.0033 \pm 0.004$ STD (18S–28S)]. The low overall genetic divergence between congeneric species despite often high levels of isolation is consistent with the idea that sexual selection can be a powerful force in the development of mating barriers in this group.

The Mediterranean ischnurids *I. elegans, I. genei, I. graellsii* and *I. saharensis* all show low interspecific genetic divergence of < 1%, and similar distances between species were also detected with allozymes (Carchini *et al.,* 1994; Neis' D = 0.00–0.352%),

although population structure analyses revealed good species barriers (Fig. 3). These four Ischnura species are ecologically and morphologically similar, but males can unambiguously be identified by reproductive structures; in particular, the morphology of the prothorax and anal appendages shows clear species-specific structures (Dijkstra & Levington, 2006). Antagonistic mating interactions and sexual conflict are likely to be involved as drivers of speciation in odonates (Svensson, 2012), and a possible outcome of these interactions can be the rapid divergence of male genitalia (Eberhard 2004), as has been shown in odonates (Cordero-Rivera et al., 2004), but also in other animal groups such as seed beetles (Cayetano et al., 2011). Mismatch in the anatomy of anal appendages causes complete or nearcomplete isolation in odonates (Robertson & Paterson, 1982; McPeek et al., 2008b; Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012). For example, in an exhaustive study on 19 isolating barriers between I. graellsii and I. elegans, mechanical isolation was the most important barrier (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012). The same study found that sexual selection was much weaker between the aforementioned species (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012), which is typical for odonate species showing little divergence in colour traits between the sexes (Sánchez-Guillén et al., 2012). In contrast, hybrid formation is almost completely prevented between congeneric Calopteryx and Mnais species (Hayashi et al., 2004; Tynkkynen et al., 2008) through strong sexual selection for wing phenotypes (Svensson et al., 2004). Thus, it appears that strong sexual selection on secondary sexual traits is a more potent mechanism to prevent hybrid formation in odonates than mechanical isolation.

In damselflies, both nuclear and mitochondrial estimates of genetic divergence were good predictors of reproductive isolation (Fig. 1), and the usefulness of this correlation was corroborated by our ability to predict hybridization. Specifically, the PCA (Fig. 3) suggested the presence of intermediate populations between I. elegans and I. genei and between I. graellsii and I. saharensis in parapatry or sympatry, and the admixture analyses revealed a pattern of hybridization and introgression consistent with the cross-directions detected under laboratory conditions. Consistent with our observation, Mallet (2007) found a negative correlation between mtDNA divergence and the number of hybrids found in wild Heliconius species. Likewise, in a ring species complex of lizards, overall genetic divergence was a good predictor of the complete cessation of genetic interactions (Pereira et al., 2011). The results from this study thus point towards a positive correlation between the degree of divergence and reproductive isolation, consistent with the majority of work that has been done on other taxa so far. This suggests that there may be a general pattern in the acquisition of reproductive isolation in animals, which can be useful when forecasting which pairs of species may become vulnerable to hybridize upon contact. One area for which this is particularly relevant is environmental change. It is known that range shifts induced by environmental change can affect the equilibrium between hybrid formation and selection acting against unfit hybrid production (Taylor *et al.*, 2006). This may lead to the loss of a species. In fact, local extinction of *I. graellsii* has been detected in the north of Spain, where *I. elegans* has recently arrived, and introgressive hybridization displaces *I. graellsii* (Sánchez-Guillén *et al.*, 2005, 2011, 2012, 2013). The high predictive ability of these measures indicates suitability in conservation to predict the risk of hybridization between species due to environmental-driven secondary contact.

Acknowledgments

Two reviewers provided key comments. We are grateful to Chris Anderson for constructive comments on this manuscript, Jesús Ramsés Chávez Ríos for help with molecular techniques and Raúl Iván Martinez Becerril for advice on literature. This work was funded by a grant from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (CGL2008-03197-E) and by a PAPIIT-DGAPA (IN222312). RAS-G was supported by a postdoctoral grant (DGAPA-UNAM) from Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. MW was supported by an Intra-European Marie-Curie postdoctoral grant. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Arnold, M.L., Tang, S., Knapp, S.J. & Noland, H.M. 2010. Asymmetric introgressive hybridization among Louisiana Iris species. *Genes* 1: 9–22.
- Arnqvist, G., Edvardsson, M., Friberg, U. & Nilsson, T. 2000. Sexual conflict promotes speciation in insects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97: 10460–10464.
- Ayala, F.J. 1975. Genetic differentiation during the speciation process. *Evol. Biol.* 8: 1–78.
- Bick, G.H. & Bick, J.C. 1981. Heterospecific pairing among Odonata. Odonatologica 10: 259-270.
- Boake, C.R. 2005. Sexual selection and speciation in Hawaiian *Drosophila. Behav. Genetics* **35**: 297–303.
- Brown, J.M., McPeek, M.A. & May, M.L. 2000. A phylogenetic perspective on habitat shifts and diversity in the North American *Enallagma damselflies*. *Syst. Biol.* **49**: 697–712.
- Carchini, G., Cobolli, M., De Matthaeis, E. & Utzeri, C. 1994. A study on genetic differentiation in the Mediterranean *Ischnura* Charpentier (*Zygoptera: Coenagrionidae*). *Adv. Odonatology* **6**: 11–20.
- Cayetano, L., Alexei, A, Maklakov, A. A., Brooks, R. C. & Bonduriansky, R. 2011. Evolution of male and female genitalia following release from sexual selection. *Evolution* 65: 2171–2183.
- Corbet, P.S. 1980. Biology of Odonata. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 25: 189–217.
- Corbet, P.S. 1999. Dragonflies: Behavior and Ecology of Odonata. Harley Books. Essex, UK.

- Cordero, A. 1989. Reproductive behaviour of *Ischnura graellsii* (Rambur) (*Zygoptera: Coenagrionidae*). Odonatologica **18**: 237–244.
- Cordero-Rivera, A., Andrés, J.A., Córdoba-Aguilar, A. & Utzeri, C. 2004. Postmating sexual selection: allopatric evolution of sperm competition mechanisms and genital morphology in calopterygid damselflies (Insecta: *Odonata*). *Evolution* 58: 349–359.
- Coyne, J.A. & Orr, H.A. 1989. Patterns of speciation in *Drosophila. Evolution* **43**: 849–857.
- Coyne, J.A. & Orr, H.A. 1997. "Patterns of speciation in *Drosophila*" revisited. *Evolution* **51**: 295–303.
- Darwin, C. 1871. *The Descent of Man and Selection in Relation to Sex.* (Spanish edition 1982). Edaf, Madrid.
- Deviche, P. 2010. Copulating pair of *Ischnura barberi* (Desert Forktail) and *I. ramburii* (Rambur's Forktail). *Argia* 22(4): 17–18.
- Dijkstra, K.D.B. & Levington, R. 2006. *Field Guide to the Dragonflies of Britain and Europe*. British Wildlife Publishing, Gillingham, Dorset.
- Eberhard, W.D. 2004. Rapid divergent evolution of sexual morphology: comparative tests of antagonistic coevolution and traditional female choice. *Evolution* **58**: 1947–1970.
- Edmands, S. 2002. Does parental divergence predict reproductive compatibility? *Trends Ecol.* Evol. 17: 520–527.
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S. & Goudet, J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUC-TURE: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 14: 2611–2620.
- Fritz, G.N., Conn, J., Cockburn, A. & Seawright, J. 1994. Sequence analysis of the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 2 from populations of *Anopheles nuneztovari* (*Diptera: Culicidae*). *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 11: 406–416.
- Funk, D., Nosil, P. & Etges, W.J. 2006. Ecological divergence exhibits consistently positive associations with reproductive isolation across disparate taxa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103: 3209–3213.
- Gage, M.J.G., Parker, G.A., Nylin, S. & Wiklund, C. 2002. Sexual selection and speciation in mammals, butterflies and spiders. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 269: 2309–2316.
- Garner, P. 2003. An odd pair-scarce blue-tailed Damselfly. *Dragonfly news* **43**: 34.
- Gavrilets, S. 2000. Rapid evolution of reproductive barriers driven by sexual conflict. *Nature* 403: 886–889.
- Goudet, J. 1995. FSTAT vers. 1.2. A computer program to calculate F-statistics. *J. Hered.* **6**: 485–486.
- Gray, D.A. & Cade, W.H. 2000. Sexual selection and speciation in field crickets. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97: 14449–14454.
- Hayashi, F., Dobata, S. & Futahashi, R. 2004. Macro- and microscale distribution patterns of two closely related Japanese Mnais species inferred from nuclear ribosomal DNA, its sequences and morphology (*Zygoptera: Calopterygidae*). Odonatologica 33: 399–412.
- Hewitt, G.M. 2001. Speciation, hybrid zones and phylogeography - or seeing genes in space and time. *Mol. Ecol.* **10**: 537–549.
- Johnson, C. 1975. Polymorphism and natural selection in Ischnuran damselflies. *Evol. Theory* 1: 81–90.
- Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16: 111–120.
- Kunz, B. 2005. Überschätzt. mercuriale 5: 43- (in German) [oas 20. Baden-Württemberg, Germany, 15-VI-2005.
- Lande, R. 1982. Rapid origin of sexual isolation and character divergence in a cline. *Evolution* 36: 213–223.

^{© 2013} THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. 27 (2014) 76-87

JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY © 2013 EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY

- Leong, J.M. & Hafernik, J.E. 1992. Hybridization between two damselfly species (*Odonata: Coenagrionidae*): Morphometric and genitalic differentiation. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 85: 662– 670.
- Lessios, H.A. & Cunningham, C.W. 1990. Gametic incompatibility between species of the sea urchin *Echinometra* on the two sides of the Isthmus of Panama. *Evolution* **44**: 933–941.
- Lowe, C.D., Harvey, I.F., Thompson, D.J. & Watts, P.C. 2008. Strong genetic divergence indicates that congeneric damselflies *Coenagrion puella* and *C. pulchellum (Odonata: Zygoptera: Coenagrionidae*) do not hybridise. *Hydrobiologia* **605**: 55–63.
- Maibach, A. 1985. Révision systámatique du genre *Calopteryx* Leach (*Odonata*, Zygoptera) pour l'Europe occidentale. Analyses biochimiques. *Mitteilungen. Bulletin* 58: 477–492.
- Mallet, J. 2007. Hybrid speciation. Nature 446: 279-283.
- Martin, O.Y. & Hosken, D.J. 2003. The evolution of reproductive isolation through sexual conflict. *Nature* **423**: 979–982.
- McPeek, M.A. & Brown, J.M. 2000. Building a regional species pool: diversification of the Enallagma damselflies in eastern North America. *Ecology* 81: 904–920.
- McPeek, M.A. & Gavrilets, S. 2006. The evolution of female mating preferences: differentiation from species with promiscuous males can promote speciation. *Evolution* **60**: 1967– 1980.
- McPeek, M., Shen, L., Torrey, J.Z. & Farid, H. 2008a. The tempo and mode of three-dimensional morphological evolution in male reproductive structures. *Am. Nat.* 117: 158–178.
- McPeek, M.A., Shen, L. & Farid, H. 2008b. The correlated evolution of three-dimensional reproductive structures between male and female damselflies. *Evolution* 63: 73–83.
- Mendelson, T.C. & Shaw, K.L. 2005. Sexual behaviour: rapid speciation in an arthropod. *Nature* **433**: 375–376.
- Miller, M.N. & Fincke, O.M. 2004. Mistakes in sexual recognition among sympatric *Zygoptera* vary with time of day and color morphism (*Odonata: Coenagrionidae*). *Int. J. Odonatol.* 7: 471–491.
- Miller, P.L. & Miller, C.A. 1981. Field observations on copulatory behaviour in Zygoptera, with an examination of the structure and activity of male genitalia. *Odonatologica* **10**: 201–218.
- Misof, B. 2002. Diversity of *Anisoptera (Odonata)*: inferring speciation processes from patterns of morphological diversity. *Zoology* 105: 355–365.
- Monetti, L., Sánchez-Guillén, R.A. & Cordero Rivera, A. 2002. Hybridization between *Ischnura graellsii* (Vander Linder) and *I. elegans* (Rambur) (*Odonata: Coenagrionidae*): are they different species? *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **76**: 225–235.
- Moyle, L.C., Olson, M.S. & Tiffin, P. 2004. Patterns of reproductive isolation in three angiosperm genera. *Evolution* 58: 1195–1208.
- Mullen, S.P. & Andrés, J.A. 2007. Rapid evolution of sexual signals in sympatric *Calopteryx* damselflies: reinforcement or 'noisy-neighbour' ecological character displacement? *J. Evol. Biol.* **20**: 1637–1648.
- Nielsen, E.E.G., Bach, L.A. & Kotlicki, P. 2006. Hybridlab (version 1.0): a program for generating simulated hybrids from population samples. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 971–973.
- Panhius, T.M., Butlin, R., Zuk, M. & Tregenza, T. 2001. Sexual selection and speciation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 16: 364–371.
- Pereira, J.R., Monahan, W.B. & Wake, D.B. 2011. Predictors for reproductive isolation in a ring species complex following genetic and ecological divergence. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11: 1–194.

- Presgraves, D. 2002. Patterns of postzygotic isolation in Lepidoptera. *Evolution* 56: 1168–1183.
- Price, T. 1998. Sexual selection and natural selection in bird speciation. *Proc. R. S. B* **353**: 251–260.
- Price, T.D. & Bouvier, M.M. 2002. The evolution of F1 post-zygotic incompatibilities in birds. *Evolution* **56**: 2083–2089.
- Pritchard, J.K. & Stephens, M. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155: 945– 959.
- Rice, W.R. 1996. Sexually antagonistic male adaptation triggered by experimental arrest of female evolution. *Nature* **381**: 232–234.
- Rice, W.R. & Hostert, E.E. 1993. Laboratory experiments on speciation: what have we learned in 40 years? *Evolution* 47: 1637–1653.
- Robertson, H.M. & Paterson, H.E.H. 1982. Mate recognition and mechanical isolation in Enallagma damselflies (*Odonata: Coenagrionidae*). *Evolution* **36**: 243–250.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F. & Maniatis, T. 1989. Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Samraoui, B., Weekers, P.H.H. & Dumont, H.J. 2002. The Enallagma of the western and central Palaearctic (*Zygoptera: Coenagrionidae*). *Odonatologica* **31**: 371–381.
- Sánchez-Guillén, R.A., Van Gossum, H. & Cordero-Rivera, A. 2005. Hybridization and the inheritance of intrasexual polymorphism in two Ischnurid damselflies (*Odonata: Coenagrionidae*). *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **85**: 471–481.
- Sánchez-Guillén, R.A., Wellenreuther, M., Cordero-Rivera, A. & Hansson, B. 2011. Introgression and rapid species turnover in sympatric damselflies. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11: 210.
- Sánchez-Guillén, R.A., Wellenreuther, M. & Cordero-Rivera, A. 2012. Strong asymmetry in the relative strengths of prezygotic and postzygotic barriers between two damselfly sister species. *Evolution* 66: 690–707.
- Sánchez-Guillén, R.A., Córdoba-Aguilar, A. & Cordero-Rivera, A. 2013. An examination of competitive gametic isolation mechanisms between the damselflies. *Ischnura graellsii* and *I. elegans. Int. J. Odonatol.* 16: 259–267.
- Sasa, M.M., Chippindale, P.T. & Johnson, N.A. 1998. Patterns of postzygotic isolation in frogs. *Evolution* 52: 1811–1820.
- Schluter, D. 2000. *The ecology of adaptive radiation* (Oxford Series in Ecology & Evolution). Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Scopece, G., Muscacchio, A., Widmer, A. & Cozzolino, S. 2007. Patterns of reproductive isolation in Mediterranean deceptive orchids. *Evolution* **61**: 2623–2642.
- Seggewise, E. 2008. Paarungsirrtumer bei Libellen. *Mercuriale* 8: 48–49.
- Simon, C., Frati, F., Beckenbach, A., Crespi, B., Liu, H. & Flook, P. 1994. Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 87: 651–701.
- Stoks, R., Nystrom, J.L., May, M.L. & McPeek, M.A. 2005. Parallel evolution in ecological and reproductive traits to produce cryptic damselfly species across the holarctic. *Evolution* 59: 1976–1988.
- Svensson, E.I. 2012. Non-ecological speciation, niche conservatism and thermal adaptation: how are they connected? *Organism Diversity Evol.* 12: 229–240.

- Svensson, E.I., Kristoffersen, L., Oskarsson, K. & Bensch, S. 2004. Molecular population divergence and sexual selection on morphology in the banded demoiselle (*Calopteryx splendens*). *Heredity* **93**: 423–433.
- Svensson, E.I., Eroukhmanoff, F. & Friberg, M. 2006. Effects of natural and sexual selection on adaptive population divergence and premating isolation in a damselfly. *Evolution* 60: 1242–1253.
- Svensson, E.I., Karlsson, K. & Eroukhmanoff, F. 2007. Gender differences in species recognition and the evolution of asymmetric sexual isolation. *Curr. Biol.* 17: 1943–1947.
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28: 2731–2739.
- Taylor, E.B., Boughman, J.W., Groenenboom, M., Sniatynski, M., Schluter, D. & Gow, J.L. 2006. Speciation in reverse: morphological and genetic evidence of the collapse of a three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) species pair. *Evolution* 15: 343–355.
- Thompson, D.J., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. & Higgins, D.G. 1997. The Clustal X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 4876–4882.
- Tierney, M. (1996) Experimental hybridization of the damselflies *Ischnura gemina* and *Ischnura denticollis (Odonata: Coenagrionidae*). San Francisco State University.
- Turgeon, J., Stoks, R., Thum, R.A., Brown, J.M. & McPeek, M.A. 2005. Quaternary radiations of three damselfly clades across the holarctic. *Am. Nat.* 165: E78–E107.
- Tynkkynen, K., Grapputo, A., Kotiaho, J. S., Rantala, M. J., Vaananen, S. & Suhonen, J. 2008. Hybridization in *Calopteryx* damselflies: the role of males. *Anim. Behav.* **75**: 1431–1439.
- Utzeri, C. & Belfiore, C. 1990. Tandem anomali fra Odonati. Fragmenta Entomologica 22: 271–287.
- Van Gossum, H., Sánchez-Guillén, R.A. & Cordero-Rivera, A. 2003. Observations on rearing damselflies under laboratory conditions. *Animal Biology* **53**: 37–45.
- Waage, J.K. 1975. Reproductive isolation and the potential for character displacement in the damselflies *Calopteryx maculata* and *C. aequabilis* (*Odonata: Calopterygidae*). Syst. Zool. 24: 24–36.
- Wellenreuther, M., Sánchez-Guillén, R.A., Cordero-Rivera, A. & Hansson, B. 2010a. Development of 12 polymorphic mi-

crosatellite loci in Ischnura elegans (Odonata: Coenagrionidae). Mol. Ecol. Resour. 10: 576–579.

- Wellenreuther, M., Tynkkynen, K. & Svensson, E.I. 2010b. Simulating range expansion: male species recognition and loss of premating isolation in damselflies. *Evolution* 64: 242– 252.
- Wellenreuther, M., Larson, K.W. & Svensson, E.I. 2012. Climatic niche divergence or conservatism? Environmental niches and range limits in ecologically similar damselflies. *Ecology* **93**: 1353–1367.
- Yukilevich, R. 2012. Asymmetrical patterns of speciation uniquely support reinforcement in *Drosophila*. *Evolution* 66–5: 1430–1446.
- Zouros, E. 1973. Genetic differentiation associated with the early stages of speciation in the *mullen* subgroup of *Drosophila*. *Evolution* **27**: 601–621.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1 Phylogenetic relations by neighbour-joining distance tress.

Figure S2 Map showing sampled populations.

Table S1 Accession numbers for the three genes and species and abbreviated names used in the phylogenetic analyses.

Table S2 Species, sampling locality, ecology and year, latitude and longitude, sample size for molecular analysis (N), observed (H_O) and expected heterozygosity (H_E), number of alleles and allelic richness (R_A).

Table S3 Pairwise genetic distances (%) (Kimura 2-parameter) between ischnurids and seven damselfly genera (*Calopteryx, Coenagrion, Enallagma, Erythromma, Lestes, Pyrrhosoma* and *Sympecma*) for mtDNA COII (330 bp, 36 sequences, 17 taxa), mtDNA CYTB (317 bp, 51 sequences, 13 taxa) and nDNA 18S-28S (485 bp, 53 sequences, 16 taxa).

Data deposited at Dryad: doi:10.5061/dryad.vn110

Received 9 July 2013; accepted 4 October 2013